

Epidemiology of herpes simplex virus type 2 in Latin America and the Caribbean: systematic review, metaanalyses and metaregressions

Manale Harfouche,^{1,2} Haifa Maalmi,³ Laith J Abu-Raddad 💿 ^{1,2,4}

► Additional supplemental material is published online only. To view, please visit the journal online (http://dx.doi. org/10.1136/sextrans-2021-054972).

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

Correspondence to

Professor Laith J Abu-Raddad, WHO Collaborating Centre for Disease Epidemiology Analytics on HIV/AIDS, Sexually Transmitted Infections, and Viral Hepatitis, Weill Cornell Medicine—Qatar, Cornell University, Qatar Foundation— Education City, Doha, Qatar; Ija2002@qatar-med.cornell.edu

Received 15 January 2021 Revised 14 March 2021 Accepted 8 April 2021 Published Online First 4 June 2021

ABSTRACT

Objective To characterise epidemiology of herpes simplex virus type 2 (HSV-2) in Latin America and the Caribbean.

Methods HSV-2 reports were systematically reviewed and synthesised, and findings were reported following Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses guidelines. Meta-analyses and metaregressions were conducted.

Finding 102 relevant reports were identified including 13 overall incidence measures, 163 overall (and 402 stratified) seroprevalence measures, and 7 and 10 proportions of virus detection in genital ulcer disease and in genital herpes, respectively. Pooled mean seroprevalence was 20.6% (95% CI 18.7% to 22.5%) in general populations, 33.3% (95% CI 26.0% to 41.0%) in intermediate-risk populations, 74.8% (95% CI 70.6% to 78.8%) in female sex workers, and 54.6% (95% CI 47.4% to 61.7%) in male sex workers, men who have sex with men and transgender people. In general populations, seroprevalence increased from 9.6% (95% CI 7.1% to 12.4%) in those aged <20 years to 17.9% (95% CI 13.6% to 22.5%) in those aged 20-30, 27.6% (95% CI 21.4% to 34.2%) in those aged 30-40 and 38.4% (95% CI 32.8% to 44.2%) in those aged >40. Compared with women, men had lower seroprevalence with an adjusted risk ratio (ARR) of 0.68 (95% CI 0.60 to 0.76). Seroprevalence declined by 2% per year over the last three decades (ARR of 0.98, 95% CI 0.97 to 0.99). Pooled mean proportions of HSV-2 detection in GUD and genital herpes were 41.4% (95% CI 18.9% to 67.0%) and 91.1% (95% CI 82.7% to 97.2%), respectively.

Conclusions One in five adults is HSV-2 infected, a higher level than other world regions, but seroprevalence is declining. Despite this decline, HSV-2 persists as the aetiological cause of nearly half of GUD cases and almost all of genital herpes cases.

INTRODUCTION

Check for updates

© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2021. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

To cite: Harfouche M, Maalmi H, Abu-Raddad LJ. Sex Transm Infect 2021;**97**:490–500. With an estimated 24 million incident infections every year, herpes simplex virus type 2 (HSV-2) is an STI of global concern.¹ Unlike common bacterial STIs, HSV-2 is a chronic and incurable infection that is characterised by frequent subclinical shedding and reactivation.^{2–6} When symptomatic, HSV-2 infection manifests in the form of painful recurrent genital ulcers that are associated with sexual and psychosocial morbidities and adverse impact on quality of life.^{7–10} HSV-2 can also be passed vertically from mother to child, thus causing neonatal herpes, a rare but highly disabling and sometimes fatal outcome in newborns.⁹¹¹ Though with some debate,¹² evidence suggests that HSV-2 increases the risk of HIV acquisition and transmission and may have contributed to driving larger HIV epidemics especially in Africa.² ^{13–15}

With the disease burden of STIs, and per the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals,¹⁶ the WHO formulated the 'Global Health Sector Strategy on STIs',¹⁷ which focused on integrating preventive and control measures aimed at eliminating STIs as a main public health concern by 2030. While controlling HSV-2 infection is a main pillar of the global effort to address the population's sexual and reproductive health needs,¹⁸¹⁹ current prevention modalities are inadequate to control transmission and there are no specific programmes for HSV-2 prevention and control even in highincome countries.²⁰⁻²² This highlights the critical need for HSV-2 vaccination as a strategic approach to control transmission and to reduce if not eliminate the clinical, psychosexual and economic burden of this infection.^{18 23-26}

Against this context, the WHO is spearheading a multisectorial effort to establish the business case and return on investment for HSV-2 vaccines.^{18 19 27 28} To inform this effort, this study aims to characterise HSV-2 epidemiology in Latin America and the Caribbean by delineating HSV-2 incidence and antibody prevalence (seroprevalence) levels, estimating pooled mean HSV-2 seroprevalence in the different at-risk populations, identifying predictors of high seroprevalence, and estimating the pooled means for the proportion of HSV-2 detection in genital ulcer disease (GUD) and the proportion of HSV-2 detection in genital herpes.

METHODS

The methods for this study were adapted from our previous systematic reviews characterising HSV-2 epidemiology in Africa²⁹ and HSV-1 epidemiology in Latin America and the Caribbean.³⁰ The study methods are described in table 1.

Data sources and search strategy

This systematic review was informed by the *Cochrane Collaboration Handbook*,³¹ and its findings were reported per the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines (online supplemental table S1).³² Forty-seven countries were included in the study and classified into subregions based on the



Methodology	Detailed description
Data source and search strategy	 Search conducted on 12 March 2020 in PubMed, Embase and Literatura Latino Americana em Ciências daSaúde (LILACS). Search strategies included exploded MeSH/Emtree terms and broad terms with no language or time restrictions. The definition of Latin America and the Caribbean included 47 countries classified into three subregions: Central America: Belize, Costa Rica, El Salvador, Guatemala, Honduras, Mexico, Nicaragua, Panama. South America: Argentina, Bolivia, Brazil, Chile, Colombia, Ecuador, French Guiana, Guyana, Paraguay, Peru, Surinam, Uruguay, Venezuela. Caribbean: Anguilla, Antigua and Barbuda, Aruba, Bahamas, Barbados, Bermuda, British Virgin Islands, Cayman Islands, Cuba, Curacao, Dominican Republic, Grenada, Guadeloupe, Haiti, Jamaica, Martinique, Montserrat, Puerto Rico, Saint Kitts and Nevis, Saint Lucia, Saint Vincent and the Grenadines, St. Barthelemy, St. Martin, Trinidad and Tobago, Turks and Caicos.
Study selection and inclusion and exclusion criteria	 Search results were imported into the reference manager EndNote (Thomson Reuters, USA). Screening was performed in four stages: Duplicate publications were identified and excluded. Titles and abstracts were screened for relevant and potentially relevant publications. Full texts of relevant and potentially relevant publications were retrieved and screened for relevance. Bibliographies of relevant publications and reviews were checked for additional potentially relevant publications. Inclusion criteria were any publication, including a study with a minimum sample size of 10, reporting primary data on any of the following outcome measures: HSV-2 antibody incidence as detected by a type-specific diagnostic assay. HSV-2 antibody prevalence (seroprevalence) as detected by a type-specific diagnostic assay. Proportion of HSV-2 in GUD as detected by standard viral detection and subtyping methods. Foculuation criteria were Case reports, case series, reviews, editorials, commentaries and qualitative studies. Measures reporting seroprevalence in infants <6 months old as their antibodies can be maternal in origin. In this study, the term 'publication' refers to a document reporting one or several outcome measures. 'Study' or 'measure' refers to a specific outcome measure and its details.
Data extraction and data synthesis	 Extracted variables included author(s), publication title, year(s) of data collection, publication year, country of origin, country of survey, city, study site, study design, study sampling procedure, study population and its characteristics (eg, sex and age), sample size, HSV-2 outcome measures, and diagnostic assay. Overall outcome measure and their stratified measures were extracted, provided the sample size in each stratum is ≥10. For studies including overall sample size, but no individual strata sample sizes, the sample size of each stratum was assumed equal to overall sample size divided by the number of strata in the study. Stratification hierarchy for incidence and seroprevalence in descending order of preference were Population type as defined in online supplemental box S1. Sex. Age group classified as (groups optimised to best fit reported data): <20-years old. >20-years old. >40 years old. >40 years old. >40 years old. Stratification hierarchy for GUD and genital herpes included genital herpes episode status and study site:
Quality assessment	The Cochrane's approach for ROB assessment included Study's precision classification into low versus high based on the sample size (<200 vs ≥200). Study's appraisal into low vs high ROB was determined using two quality domains: - Sampling method: probability-based vs non-probability based. - Response rate: ≥80% vs <80% or unclear.
Meta-analyses	 Meta-analyses were conducted using DerSimonian-Laird random-effects models with inverse variance weighting. The variance of each outcome measure was stabilised using the Freeman-Tukey arcsine square-root transformation. Pooled mean HSV-2 seroprevalence was estimated for each population type by sex, and for general populations by country, subregion, year of data collection range and year of publication range. Pooled proportions of HSV-2 detection in GUD and in genital herpes cases were estimated. Heterogeneity assessment was based on three complementary metrics: Cochran's Q statistic to assess existence of heterogeneity in effect size (p value of <0.1 indicated heterogeneity). I² heterogeneity measure to assess the percentage of between-study variation in effect size that is due to actual differences in effect size rather than chance. Prediction interval to describe the distribution of true outcome measures around the pooled mean.
Metaregressions	 Univariable and multivariable random-effects meta-regression analyses using log-transformed proportions were carried out to identify predictors of HSV-2 seroprevalence. Factors in the univariable model with a p value of <0.1 were included in the multivariable analysis. Factors in the multivariable model with a p value of ≤0.05 were deemed to be significant predictors. Variables included in the univariable metaregression model for HSV-2 seroprevalence were Population type. Age group. Sex. Country. Subregion. Country's income: LIC, LMIC, UMIC, and HIC according the World Bank classification. Assay type (western blot, ELISA, and monoclonal antibody). Sample size. Sampling method. Response rate. Year of data collection. Year of data collection category (<2000, 2000–2010, >2010). The year of data collection nad a few missing variables that were imputed by adjusting the year of publication using the median difference with the year of data collection.

I'llis methodology was adapted from a previously conducted systematic review characterising the epidemiology of HSV-1 in Europe.⁵⁸ GUD, genital ulcer disease; HIC, high-income country; HSV-1, herpes simplex virus type 1; HSV-2, herpes simplex virus type 2; LIC, low-income country; LMIC, lower-income to middle-income country; ROB, risk of bias; UMIC, upper-income to middle-income country.

Sex Transm Infect: first published as 10.1136/sextrans-2021-054972 on 4 June 2021. Downloaded from http://sti.bmj.com/ on April 28, 2024 by guest. Protected by copyright.

WHO and United Nations definitions for Latin America and the Caribbean (table 1).^{33 34} Search strategies are in online supplemental table S2.

Study selection and inclusion and exclusion criteria

Screening and double screening were conducted by HM and MH, respectively. Screening steps and eligibility criteria are detailed in table 1.

Data extraction and synthesis

Extraction and double extraction of relevant publications were performed by MH and HM. The list of extracted variables is found in table 1.

Quality assessment

Given documented limitations in HSV-2 assays,^{35 36} assessment of assays' reliability and validity was conducted with the assistance of Professor Rhoda Ashley-Morrow of the University of Washington, a leading expert in HSV-2 serology. Only studies with reliable and valid assays were included in the systematic review, and each study was subsequently assessed for precision and risk of bias (ROB) as informed by the Cochrane approach.³¹ Details of the quality assessment are in table 1.

Meta-analyses

To account for sampling variation and heterogeneity in effect sizes, meta-analyses were conducted using DerSimonian-Laird random-effects models³⁷ with the variance stabilised using the Freeman-Tukey double arcsine transformation.³⁸ These analyses were conducted in R V.3.4.1³⁹ using the 'meta' package⁴⁰ (table 1).

Metaregressions

To identify possible predictors of HSV-2 seroprevalence and sources of between-study heterogeneity, log-transformed seroprevalence measures were regressed in STATA/SE V.13⁴¹ using the 'metareg' package⁴² (table 1).

RESULTS

Search results and scope of evidence

Study selection process following the PRISMA guidelines is detailed in figure 1. Overall, 4371 citations were identified. After deduplication and title and abstract screening, full text of 631 unique citations were retrieved for further screening. This step identified 95 relevant publications, and their bibliography



Figure 1 Flowchart of article selection for the systematic review of HSV-2 infection in Latin America and the Caribbean, per the PRISMA guidelines.³² HSV-2, herpes simplex virus type 2; LILACS, Literatura Latino Americana em Ciências daSaúde, PRISMA, Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses.

Fable 2 Pooled mean estimates for HSV-2 seroprevalence among the different at-risk populations in Latin America and the Caribbean									
	Outcome measures	Samples	HSV-2 seroj (%)	prevalence	Pooled mean HSV-2 seroprevalence	Heterogeneity me	easures		
Population type	Total N	Total N	Range	Median	Mean (%) (95% Cl)	Q* (P value)	I²† (%) (95% CI)	Prediction interval‡ (%)	
General populations	236	56 457	0.0-71.4	19.6	20.6 (18.7 to 22.5)	6495.1 (<0.001)	96.(96.1 to 96.6)	1.4–52.5	
Women	139	23 959	0.0-71.4	23.9	25.2 (22.5 to 28.0)	2940.6 (<0.001)	95.3 (94.8 to 95.8)	2.7–59.2	
Men	85	16 446	0.0-64.3	10.9	14.2 (11.6 to 17.0)	1914.1 (<0.001)	95.6 (95.0 to 96.1)	0.0-44.8	
Mixed sexes	12	16 052	2.2-35.8	15.0	16.8 (11.7 to 22.6)	689.2 (<0.001)	98.4 (98.0 to 98.8)	1.7-42.4	
Intermediate-risk populations	24	6775	3.4–79.2	32.2	33.3 (26.0 to 41.0)	817.4 (<0.001)	97.2 (96.5 to 97.7)	4.1-72.6	
Women	9	1255	22.2–79.2	43.5	49.3 (38.9 to 60.8)	102.5 (<0.001)	92.2 (87.4 to 95.2)	13.4–85.6	
Men	15	5520	3.4–51.1	26.8	25.6 (19.5 to 32.2)	331.0 (<0.001)	95.8 (94.3 to 96.9)	4.6-55.6	
High-risk populations	93	25 344	9.0-100	71.2	66.2 (61.0 to 71.2)	6206.7 (<0.001)	98.5 (98.4 to 98.6)	18.0–99.3	
FSWs	56	9023	9.0-100	75.0	74.8 (70.6 to 78.8)	901.6 (<0.001)	93.9 (92.8 to 94.9)	43.2-96.7	
MSWs, MSM and transgender people	37	16321	13.0–39.9	50.9	54.6 (47.4 to 61.7)	2851.4 (<0.001)	98.7 (98.6 to 98.9)	13.9–91.9	
STI clinic attendees and symptomatic populations	6	432	38.9–95.0	47.0	49.2 (41.9 to 56.5)	8.2 (0.146)	39.0 (0.0 to 75.8)	31.3–67.1	
Mixed sexes	6	432	38.9–95.0	47.0	49.2 (41.9 to 56.5)	8.2 (0.146)	39.0 (0.0 to 75.8)	31.3–67.1	
HIV-positive individuals and individuals in HIV discordant couples	19	2840	20.0–88.0	65.6	67.3 (60.0 to 74.2)	264.1 (<0.001)	93.2 (90.7 to 95.0)	33.8–93.2	
Women	9	1354	20.0-88.0	65.6	68.9 (56.2 to 78.6)	133.4 (<0.001)	94.0 (90.7 to 96.1)	25.6-97.7	
Men	5	1066	42.3-81.1	53.0	60.6 (45.6 to 74.7)	83.9 (<0.001)	95.2 (91.5 to 97.3)	9.4–99.3	
Mixed sexes	5	420	61.4-87.0	73.0	71.9 (62.9 to 80.2)	13.9 (0.007)	71.3 (27.4 to 88.7)	40.0-95.0	
Other populations§	24	3497	15.1-82.0	56.8	51.1 (43.7 to 58.5)	422.6 (<0.001)	94.6 (93.0 to 95.8)	16.5-85.2	
Women	21	3225	45.1-82.0	56.6	52.0 (44.2 to 59.8)	368.7 (<0.001)	94.6 (92.9 to 95.9)	17.4-85.5	
Men	2¶	172	59.6-60.8	60.2	60.5 (53.0 to 67.8)	-	-	-	
Mixed sexes	1¶	100	-	-	18.0 (11.0 to 26.2)	-	-	_	

*Q: the Cochran's Q statistic is a measure assessing the existence of heterogeneity in seroprevalence.

11²: a measure that assesses the magnitude of between-study variation that is due to actual differences in seroprevalence across studies rather than chance.

*Prediction interval: a measure that estimates the distribution (95% interval) of true seroprevalence around the estimated mean.

§Other populations include populations with an undetermined risk of acquiring HSV-2 infection such as patients with cervical cancer or their spouses.

¶No meta-analysis was done due to the small number of studies (n<3).

FSW, female sex worker; HSV-2, herpes simplex virus type 2; MSM, men who have sex with men; MSW, male sex worker;

screening identified seven additional relevant publications, including conference posters and abstracts.^{43–49}

In total, 102 publications were deemed relevant and extracted. This extraction yielded 13 HSV-2 overall incidence measures, 163 overall (402 stratified) seroprevalence measures, 7 overall proportion measures of HSV-2 detection in GUD and 10 overall proportion measures of HSV-2 detection in genital herpes.

HSV-2 incidence overview

Online supplemental table 3 summarises the extracted seroconversion rates (number of measures (n)=10) and incidence rates (n=6). Study design was either longitudinal cohort (n=8, 61.5%) or randomised controlled trial (n=5, 38.4%), with follow-up durations ranging between 335 days and 2 years. Across all populations, seroconversion rate ranged between 2.0% and 51.1%, and incidence rate ranged between 4.5 and 38.5 per 100 person-years.

HSV-2 seroprevalence overview

Overall extracted seroprevalence measures (n=163) are listed in online supplemental table S4. The earliest study was published in 1989 and the most recent study was published in 2020. Majority of studies were based on convenience sampling (n=96, 58.9%).

Stratified seroprevalence measures varied by population type classification (table 2), with seroprevalence ranging between

0.0% and 71.4% with a median of 19.6% among general populations (n=236), between 3.4% and 79.2% with a median of 32.2% among intermediate-risk populations (n=24), between 9.0% and 100% with a median of 71.2% among high-risk populations (n=93), between 38.9% and 95.0% with a median of 47.0% among STI clinic attendees and symptomatic populations (n=6), and between 20.0% and 88.0% with a median of 65.6% among HIV-positive individuals and individuals in HIV discordant couples (n=19). A detailed summary of seroprevalence measures by sex across the population type classifications is in table 2.

Pooled mean HSV-2 seroprevalence

Table 2 summarises the pooled mean HSV-2 seroprevalence by sex across populations. In general populations, the pooled mean was 25.2% (95% CI 22.5% to 28.0%) among women and 14.2% (95% CI 11.6% to 17.0%) among men. In intermediaterisk populations, the pooled mean was 49.3% (95% CI 38.9%to 60.8%) among women and 25.6% (95% CI 19.5% to 32.2%) among men. In high-risk populations, the pooled mean was 74.8% (95% CI 70.6% to 78.8%) among female sex workers and 54.6% (95% CI 47.4% to 61.7%) among male sex workers, men who have sex with men and transgender people. In STI clinic attendees and symptomatic populations (mixed population of women and men), the pooled mean was 49.2% (95% CI

 Table 3
 Pooled mean estimates for HSV-2 seroprevalence among the general population in Latin America and the Caribbean

	Outcome measures	Sample size	HSV-2 serop (%)	orevalence	Pooled mean HSV-2 seroprevalence	Heterogeneity measures		
Population classification	Total N	Total N	Range	Median	Mean (%) (95% Cl)	Q* (P value)	l²† (%) (95% Cl)	Prediction interval‡ (%)
Countries								
Brazil	79	22 671	0.0-71.4	28.0	25.5 (22.2 to 28.9)	2049.9 (<0.001)	96.2 (95.7 to 96.6)	3.8–56.9
Colombia	14	1633	1.7–67.3	37.7	35.9 (23.9 to 48.7)	351.6 (<0.001)	96.3 (95.0 to 97.3)	0.5-86.6
Costa Rica	11	1800	17.7–58.8	44.6	41.7 (34.2 to 49.4)	108.8 (<0.001)	90.8 (85.6 to 94.1)	15.7–70.4
Mexico	53	19574	0.0-45.0	10.9	13.4 (10.8 to 16.2)	1392.9 (<0.001)	96.3 (95.7 to 96.8)	0.6–37.1
Peru	65	6896	0.0-38.0	9.0	11.7 (9.5 to 14.1)	500.3 (<0.001)	87.2 (84.4 to 89.5)	0.0-33.1
Other countries§	14	3883	11.8–65.0	39.6	41.2 (32.6 to 50.1)	378.4 (<0.001)	96.6 (95.4 to 97.4)	10.0-77.0
Subregions								
Central America	68	22688	0.0–59.9	14.9	18.4 (15.1 to 21.9)	2694.7 (<0.001)	97.5 (97.2 to 97.8)	0.5–51.4
South America	164	32 590	0.0-71.4	21.3	21.1 (18.8 to 23.4)	3632.7 (<0.001)	95.5 (95.1 to 95.9)	1.5–53.3
Caribbean	4	1179	30.5–54.0	37.2	38.7 (29.9 to 47.9)	20.9 (0.001)	85.7 (64.9 to 94.2)	5.9-79.0
Age group								
<20 years	28	5194	0.0-29.0	9.0	9.6 (7.1 to 12.4)	229.1 (<0.001)	88.2 (84.1 to 91.2)	0.5–26.4
20–30 years	30	4453	0.0-47.4	20.8	17.9 (13.6 to 22.5)	379.7 (<0.001)	92.4 (90.2 to 94.1)	1.1-46.4
30-40 years	17	1983	9.6–56.0	28.3	27.6 (21.4 to 34.2)	157.2 (<0.001)	89.8 (95.3 to 93.1)	5.6–57.8
>40 years	34	5029	10.9–71.4	40.3	38.4 (32.8 to 44.2)	561.6 (<0.001)	94.1 (92.7 to 95.3)	10.0-72.0
Mixed	127	39 798	0.0-67.3	17.0	18.7 (16.4 to 21.2)	4188.3 (<0.001)	997.0 (96.7 to 97.3)	0.9–49.5
Year of publication category								
<2000	21	2901	5.0-67.3	36.0	34.1 (26.2 to 42.5)	406.4 (<0.001)	95.1 (93.6 to 96.2)	4.0-74.2
2000–2010	132	28618	0.0-71.4	23.1	22.8 (20.3 to 25.5)	3336.4 (<0.001)	96.1 (95.7 to 96.4)	2.1–55.6
>2010	83	24938	0.0-65.0	10.9	14.3 (12.1 to 16.8)	1812.0 (<0.001)	94.9 (94.9 to 96.0)	0.7–39.1
Year of data collection category	/							
<2000	83	9229	0.0-71.4	30.8	31.1 (27.4 to 34.9)	1173.1 (<0.001)	93.0 (91.9 to 94.0)	5.3–65.7
2000–2010	143	33 565	0.0–59.9	12.0	15.0 (13.1 to 17.1)	3450.4 (<0.001)	95.9 (95.5 to 96.3)	0.3-43.4
>2010	12	13835	10.4–65.0	29.3	30.7 (24.5 to 38.4)	649.0 (<0.001)	98.3 (97.8 to 98.7)	6.9–61.9
All studies	238	56628	0.0-71.4	20.0	20.8 (19.0 to 22.8)	6630.7 (<0.001)	96.4 (96.2 to 96.7)	1.4–53.1

*Q: the Cochran's Q statistic is a measure assessing the existence of heterogeneity in seroprevalence.

tl²: a measure that assesses the magnitude of between-study variation that is due to actual differences in seroprevalence across studies rather than chance.

*Prediction interval: a measure that estimates the distribution (95% interval) of true seroprevalence around the estimated mean.

§Other countries include Argentina, Barbados, Bolivia, Haiti, Honduras and Panama.

HSV-2, herpes simplex virus type 2.

41.9% to 56.5%). In HIV-positive individuals and individuals in HIV discordant couples, the pooled mean was 68.9% (95% CI 56.2% to 78.6%) among women and 60.6% (95% CI 45.6% to 74.7%) among men. Forest plots of these meta-analyses are in online supplemental figure S1.

Table 3 summarises pooled mean seroprevalence estimates in general populations for different subpopulation categorisations. By country, the pooled mean was lowest at 11.7% (95% CI 9.5% to 14.1%) in Peru and was higher at 13.4% (95% CI 10.8% to 16.2%) in Mexico, 25.5% (95% CI 22.2% to 28.9%) in Brazil, 35.9% (95% CI 23.9% to 48.7%) in Colombia and 41.7% (95% CI 34.2%–49.4%) in Costa Rica. Across age groups, pooled mean seroprevalence increased steadily starting at 9.6% (95% CI 7.1% to 12.4%) in <20-year-old individuals, then at 17.9% (95% CI 13.6% to 22.5%) in individuals aged 20–30 years, 27.6% (95% CI 21.4% to 34.2%) in individuals aged 30–40 years and reaching 38.4% (95% CI 32.8% to 44.2%) in >40-year-old individuals.

Predictors of HSV-2 seroprevalence

Results of the metaregression analyses are shown in table 4 (online supplemental tables S5 and S6). In the univariable analysis, 12 variables were found eligible for inclusion in the multivariable model (p<0.1). Two sets of multivariable models were

conducted to account for the collinearity between the year of publication and the year of data collection.

Each conducted multivariable model explained about 69% of seroprevalence variation and included population type, age group, sex, subregion, country's income, sample size, sampling method and response rate, in addition to year of publication or year of data collection. The 'country' and 'country's income' variables were not included in the multivariable models due to collinearity with subregion. However, they did not add notable new results when they were included in sensitivity analyses instead of subregion (online supplemental table S5).

In the model including year of publication as a categorical variable (table 4) and compared with the general populations, HSV-2 seroprevalence was higher by 1.55-fold (95% CI 1.22 to 1.96) in intermediate-risk populations, 3.09-fold (95% CI 2.67 to 3.57) in high-risk populations, 2.40-fold (95% CI 1.48 to 3.90) in STI clinic attendees and symptomatic populations, and 3.06-fold (95% CI:2.37 to 3.95) in HIV-positive individuals and individuals in HIV discordant couples.

Compared with women, men had a 0.68-fold (95% CI 0.60 to 0.76) lower seroprevalence. Compared with those <20 years old, seroprevalence was higher by 1.63-fold (95% CI 1.27 to 2.09) in individuals aged 20–30 years old, 2.24-fold (95% CI 1.68 to 2.99) in individuals aged 30–40 years old individuals,

Production characteristics Productin characteristics	Induction characteristic Inducti				Outcome measures	Sample size	Univariable analysis	_			Multivariable ana	lysis*		
Population characteristics Population type Total In Total IN R (65% (1)) povulation (3%) AR (95% (1)) povulation (3%) povulation	Problem offer characteristics Production characteristics Productistics Productic								LR test P	Adjusted R ²	Model 1†		Model 2	
Pepulation-characteristics Perulation type General propulations: 35 5,457 1,00 - - - - - - - - - - 100 -	Population type General population 25 55.67 1.00 5.33 1.00 5.34 1.00 1.55 1.20 1.00 1.20 1.00 1.20 1.00 <				Total n	Total N	RR (95% CI)	p value	value	(%)	ARR (95% CI)	P value	ARR (95% CI)	P value
Intermediate-risk 24 6775 152 (116 to 2.00) 0.002 155 (12.10) High-risk 9 2334 390 (2.64 to 3.61) -0001 303 (2.57 to 30) High-risk 6 423 2.49 (147 to 4.22) 0.001 303 (2.57 to 30) S1 dividuals and vinguadions 14 23 2.49 (147 to 4.23) -001 303 (2.27 to 30) Hypopidions 14 23 2.49 (147 to 4.23) -001 303 (2.27 to 30) Age group -2.0 yess 3 2.49 (147 to 4.23) -001 306 (2.37 to 30) Age group -2.0 yess 3 2.40 (147 to 4.23) -001 306 (2.37 to 30) Age group -2.0 yess 3 2.10 (2.80 to 30) -001 306 (2.37 to 30) Age group -2.0 yess 23 2.41 (180 to 30) -001 306 (2.37 to 30) Age group 2.00 3.21 (2.38 to 4.40) -001	$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Population characteristics	Population type	General populations	236	56457	1.00	I	<0.001	45.98	1.00	I	1.00	I
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			Intermediate-risk	24	6775	1.52 (1.16 to 2.00)	0.002			1.55 (1.22 to 1.96)	<0.001	1.54 (1.22 to 1.96)	<0.001
STI diric attenties 6 432 $2.9(1.47 \text{ b} 4.22)$ 0.001 2.40(1.48 \text{ b} 4.23) populations 19 2.30 2.31 2.30 2.33 2.30 2.33 2.30 2.30 2.37 2.40(1.48 \text{ b} 4.23) 2.40(1.48 \text{ b} 4	Static manual and symmetric populations 1 4.32 2.49 4.01 2.35 4.00 2.35 4.00 2.35 4.00 2.35 4.00 2.35 4.00 2.35 2.36			High-risk populations	63	25344	3.09 (2.64 to 3.61)	<0.001			3.09 (2.67 to 3.57)	<0.001	3.08 (2.66 to 3.57)	<0.001
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				STI clinic attendees and symptomatic populations	9	432	2.49 (1.47 to 4.22)	0.001			2.40 (1.48 to 3.90)	<0.001	2.35 (1.45 to 3.81)	0.001
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			HIV-positive individuals and individuals in HIV discordant couples	19	2840	3.21 (2.38 to 4.32)	<0.001			3.06 (2.37 to 3.95)	<0.001	3.02 (2.34 to 3.89)	<0.001
Age group < 20 years 35 6538 1.00 - < 0.001 1.026 1.00 $20-30$ years 47 7751 2.06 (1.40 to 3.001) < 0.001 10.26 1.00 $2-40$ years 23 2.38 (1.40 to 3.001) < 0.001 3.22 2.323 2.38 (1.40 to 3.001) 0.001 3.22 2.320 80 Wixed ages 23 2.38 (1.40 to 3.001) < 0.001 3.22 2.320 $86x$ Women 2.34 2.34 (1.30 to 3.001) < 0.001 4.82 1.30 $8ex$ Women 2.34 3.816 1.00 < 0.001 4.82 1.30 $8ex$ Women 2.34 1.30 0.001 4.82 1.30 $8ex$ Mered sexes 2.41 1.00 0.001 4.82 1.30 $8ex$ Mered sexes 2.41 1.266 0.001 1.30 0.001 1.30 1.30 $8ex$ Mex	Age group -20 years 35 633 100 - 400 10.5 100 - 100 - 100 10.5 100 1 100 <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>Other populations§</td><td>24</td><td>3497</td><td>2.42 (1.85 to 3.16)</td><td><0.001</td><td></td><td></td><td>1.56 (1.24 to 1.97)</td><td><0.001</td><td>1.53 (1.21 to 1.94)</td><td><0.001</td></th<>			Other populations§	24	3497	2.42 (1.85 to 3.16)	<0.001			1.56 (1.24 to 1.97)	<0.001	1.53 (1.21 to 1.94)	<0.001
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Age group	<20 years	35	6538	1.00	I	<0.001	10.26	1.00	I	1.00	I
				20–30 years	47	7751	2.05 (1.40 to 3.00)	<0.001			1.63 (1.27 to 2.09)	<0.001	1.62 (1.26 to 2.09)	<0.001
$ \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			30-40 years	22	2933	2.58 (1.64 to 4.04)	<0.001			2.24 (1.68 to 2.99)	<0.001	2.22 (1.66 to 2.97)	<0.001
Mixed ages 259 72 183 2,49 (1.82 to 3.41) <0.001 4.82 $1.79 (1.41 to 3.54)$ Sex Women 2.34 3816 1.00 $ 0.001$ 4.82 1.00 Sex Women 2.34 3816 1.00 $ 0.001$ 4.82 1.00 Men 144 3955 $0.67 (0.56 to 0.80)$ <0.001 4.82 1.00 Men 144 3955 $0.67 (0.56 to 0.80)$ <0.001 4.82 1.00 Countries Brazil 106 2.5766 1.00 $ <0.001$ 1.20 $0.56 (0.60 to 0.50)$ Countries Brazil 106 2.5766 1.00 $ <0.001$ 1.258 $0.59 (0.46 to 0.50)$ Countries Brazil 106 2.247 $1.36 (0.91 to 2.01)$ 0.102 $0.59 (0.46 to 0.50)$ Percu 1.31 2.3437 $0.71 (0.56 to 0.50)$ 0.001 4.82 1.00 Percu Percu				>40 years	39	5940	2.84 (1.92 to 4.18)	<0.001			3.22 (2.50 to 4.14)	<0.001	3.08 (2.40 to 3.96)	<0.001
SexWomen23438161.00 $-$ 0.0014.821.00Men14439525067 (0.56 to 0.80)<0.001	Sex Women 234 38816 1.00 $-$ 0.01 482 1.00 $-$ 1.00 Men 144 3955 067(0.56:0.080) <0.001			Mixed ages	259	72 183	2.49 (1.82 to 3.41)	<0.001			1.79 (1.44 to 2.21)	<0.001	1.71 (1.39 to 2.11)	<0.001
			Sex	Women	234	38816	1.00	I	0.001	4.82	1.00	I	1.00	I
				Men	144	39525	0.67 (0.56 to 0.80)	<0.001			0.68 (0.60 to 0.76)	<0.001	0.69 (0.61 to 0.77)	<0.001
CountriesBrazil106 25766 1.00 $ <0.0014$ 12.58 $-$ Colombia19 2247 $1.36(0.91 to 2.01)$ 0.125 $ <0.0014$ 12.58 $-$ Colombia19 2247 $1.36(0.91 to 2.01)$ 0.125 $ <0.0014$ 12.58 $-$ Revico76 22437 $0.71(0.56 to 0.91)$ 0.102 $ -$ Mexico76 23437 $0.71(0.56 to 0.91)$ 0.008 $ -$ Peru131 24976 $0.92(0.74 to 1.14)$ 0.476 $ -$ RubreyionsCentral America124 13221 $1.84(1.39 to 2.45)$ <0.001 $ -$ SubreyionsCentral America24 13221 $1.84(1.39 to 2.45)$ <0.001 $ -$ SubreyionsCentral America264 54798 $0.95(0.74 to 1.14)$ 0.665 0.82 $ -$ SubreyionsCentral America264 54798 $0.95(0.74 to 1.14)$ 0.605 $ -$ Cuntry's incomeUIC29 9.07 $ -$ Cuntry's incomeUIC29 $ -$ Cuntry's incomeUIC29 $ -$	Countries Brail 106 25766 1,00 - C C <thc< th=""> C</thc<>			Mixed sexes	24	17004	0.81 (0.57 to 1.16)	0.267			0.59 (0.46 to 0.77)	<0.001	0.62 (0.48 to 0.80)	<0.001
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Countries	Brazil	106	25766	1.00	I	<0.001\$	12.58	I	I	1	I
Costa Rica 13 2364 1.46 (0.92 to 2.30) 0.102 - Mexico 76 23437 0.71 (0.56 to 0.91) 0.008 - - Mexico 76 23437 0.71 (0.56 to 0.91) 0.006 - - Panama 15 3334 1.81 (1.18 to 2.78) 0.006 - - Peru 131 24976 0.92 (0.74 to 1.14) 0.476 - - Other¶ 42 13221 1.84 (1.39 to 2.45) <0.001	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			Colombia	19	2247	1.36 (0.91 to 2.01)	0.125			I	I	I	I
Mexico 76 23.437 0.71 (0.56 to 0.91) 0.008 - Panama 15 3334 1.81 (1.18 to 2.78) 0.006 - - Peru 131 24.976 0.92 (0.74 to 1.14) 0.476 - - Other¶ 42 1321 1.84 (1.39 to 2.45) <0.001				Costa Rica	13	2364	1.46 (0.92 to 2.30)	0.102			I	I	I	I
Panama 15 3334 1.81 (1.1.8 to 2.7.8) 0.006 - Peru 131 24976 0.92 (0.74 to 1.1.4) 0.476 - Peru 131 24976 0.92 (0.74 to 1.1.4) 0.476 - Other¶ 42 13221 1.84 (1.39 to 2.45) <0.001				Mexico	76	23437	0.71 (0.56 to 0.91)	0.008			I	I	I	I
Peru 131 24976 0.92 (0.74 to 1.14) 0.476 – Other¶ 42 13221 1.84 (1.39 to 2.45) <0.001	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			Panama	15	3334	1.81 (1.18 to 2.78)	0.006			I	I	I	I
Other¶ 42 13221 1.84 (1.39 to 2.45) <0.001 - Subregions Central America 124 38103 1.00 - 0.065 0.82 1.00 Subregions Central America 124 38103 1.00 - 0.065 0.82 1.00 South America 264 54798 0.95 (0.79 to 1.14) 0.606 1.13 (1.00 to Caribbean 14 2444 1.62 (1.02 to 2.58) 0.040 1.13 (1.00 to Country's income LIC and LMIC 29 9846 1.00 - <0.0014	Other¶ 42 13221 1.84 (1.39 to 2.45) <0.001 - - - - 1.00 - 1.10 0.047 1.13 (0.04 1.57) 0.047 1.13 (0.051 0.157) 0.047 1.17 (0.87 to 1.57) 0.047 1.17 (0.87 to 1.56) Country's income LIC and LMIC 29 9.046 0.1.001 - - 1.00 - 1.00 - 1.00 - 1.00 <			Peru	131	24976	0.92 (0.74 to 1.14)	0.476			I	I	I	I
Subregions Central America 124 38103 1.00 - 0.065 0.82 1.00 South America 264 54798 0.95 (0.79 to 1.14) 0.606 1.13 (1.00 to Caribbean 14 2444 1.62 (1.02 to 2.58) 0.040 1.13 (1.00 to Country's income LIC and LMIC 29 9846 1.00 - <0.0014	Subregions Central America 124 38103 1.00 - 0.065 0.82 1.00 - 1.00 South America 264 54798 0.95 (0.79 to 1.14) 0.606 1.13 (1.00 to 1.27) 0.047 1.12 (0.99 to 1.27) Caribbean 14 2444 1.62 (1.02 to 2.58) 0.040 1.17 (0.87 to 1.57) 0.281 1.17 (0.87 to 1.56) Country's income LIC and LMIC 29 9846 1.00 - <			Other	42	13221	1.84 (1.39 to 2.45)	<0.001			I	I	I	I
South America 264 54798 0.95 (0.79 to 1.14) 0.606 1.13 (1.00 to Caribbean 14 2.444 1.62 (1.02 to 2.58) 0.040 1.17 (0.87 to Country's income LIC and LMIC 29 9846 1.00 - <0.0014	South America 264 54 798 0.95 (0.79 to 1.14) 0.606 1.13 (1.00 to 1.27) 0.047 1.12 (0.99 to 1.27) Caribbean 14 2444 1.62 (1.02 to 2.58) 0.040 1.17 (0.87 to 1.57) 0.281 1.17 (0.87 to 1.57) 0.281 1.17 (0.87 to 1.56) Country's income LIC and LMIC 29 9846 1.00 - <0.001#		Subregions	Central America	124	38103	1.00	I	0.065	0.82	1.00	I	1.00	I
Caribbean 14 2444 1.62 (1.02 to 2.58) 0.040 1.17 (0.87 to Country's income LIC and LMIC 29 9846 1.00 - <0.001#	Caribbean 14 2444 1.62 (1.02 to 2.58) 0.040 1.17 (0.87 to 1.57) 0.281 1.17 (0.87 to 1.56) Country's income LIC and LMIC 29 9846 1.00 - <			South America	264	54798	0.95 (0.79 to 1.14)	0.606			1.13 (1.00 to 1.27)	0.047	1.12 (0.99 to 1.27)	0.053
Country's income LIC and LMIC 29 9846 1.00 – <0.001‡ 9.07 – UMIC 354 81 539 0.45 (0.33 to 0.62) <0.001 – –	Country's income LIC and LMIC 29 9846 1.00 - - <			Caribbean	14	2444	1.62 (1.02 to 2.58)	0.040			1.17 (0.87 to 1.57)	0.281	1.17 (0.87 to 1.56)	0.287
UMIC 354 81 539 0.45 (0.33 to 0.62) <0.001 –	UMIC 354 81 539 0.45 (0.33 to 0.62) <0.001 – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – –		Country's income	LIC and LMIC	29	9846	1.00	I	<0.001‡	9.07	1	I	I	I
	HIC 19 3960 0.86 (0.54 to 1.36) 0.528 – – – –			UMIC	354	81 539	0.45 (0.33 to 0.62)	<0.001			I	I	I	I
HIC 19 3960 0.86 (0.54 to 1.36) 0.528 –				HIC	19	3960	0.86 (0.54 to 1.36)	0.528			I	I	I	I

Table 5 Pooled mean proportions of HSV-2 virus isolation in clinically diagnosed GUD and in clinically diagnosed genital herpes in Latin America and the Caribbean

	Outcome measures	Samples	Proportion isolation (%	of HSV-2 。)	Pooled proportion of HSV-2 isolation (%)	Heterogeneity measures		
Population type	Total N	Total N	Range	Median	Mean (95% CI)	Q*(P value)	l²† (%) (95% Cl)	Prediction interval‡ (%)
Patients with GUD	7	603	0.0–77.7	50.9	41.4 (18.9 to 67.0)	184.5 (<0.001)	96.7 (95.0 to 97.9)	0.0–100
Patients with genital herpes	10	278	71.5–100	90.1	91.1 (82.7 to 97.2)	31.3 (<0.001)	71.2 (45.2 to 84.9)	58.3–100

*Q: the Cochran's Q statistic is a measure assessing the existence of heterogeneity in pooled outcome measures, here proportions of HSV-2 virus isolation in GUD and in genital herpes.

t¹² a measure assessing the magnitude of between-study variation that is due to true differences in proportions of HSV-2 virus isolation across studies rather than sampling variation.

*Prediction interval: a measure quantifying the distribution 95% interval of true proportions of HSV-2 virus isolation around the estimated pooled mean.

GUD, genital ulcer disease; HSV-2, herpes simplex virus type 2.

and 3.22-fold (95% CI 2.50 to 4.14) in >40-year-old individuals. Seroprevalence was 1.13-fold (95% CI 1.00 to 1.27) higher in South America compared with Central America.

Small-study effect was identified—seroprevalence was 0.75fold (95% CI 0.64 to 0.87) lower in studies with a sample size of >200 compared with those with a sample size of <200. Seroprevalence was 1.16-fold (95% CI 1.00 to 1.35) higher in studies using non-probability-based sampling compared with studies using probability-based sampling. Seroprevalence was 0.79-fold (95% CI 0.63 to 0.99) lower in studies with low response rate (<80%) compared with studies with high response rate (>80%). No effect was found for assay type on observed seroprevalence.

Compared with studies published before the year 2000, those published after 2010 had 0.74-fold (95% CI 0.61 to 0.89) lower seroprevalence. When year of publication was included as a linear term instead of a categorical variable, seroprevalence was found declining by 0.98-fold (95% CI 0.97 to 0.99) per year. Similar results were found when the year of data collection was used in the metaregressions instead of the year of publication (online supplemental table S6). Year of publication was used in the main analysis as its data were more complete than those for year of data collection.

HSV-2 isolation in GUD and in genital herpes

Online supplemental table S7 summarises the studies reporting proportions of HSV-2 detection in GUD or in genital herpes, while table 5 shows the pooled means for these proportions. Proportion of HSV-2 detection in GUD (n=7) ranged between 0.0% and 77.7% with a median of 50.9% and a pooled proportion of 41.4% (95% CI 18.9% to 67.0%). The proportion of HSV-2 detection in genital herpes (n=10) ranged between 71.5% and 100% with a median of 90.1% and a pooled proportion of 91.1% (95% CI 82.7% to 97.2%). Forest plots of the meta-analyses are in online supplemental figure S2.

Quality assessment

The results of the quality assessment are summarised in online supplemental table S8. In total, 82.2% of studies had high precision; 28.8% had low ROB in the sampling method domain; and 35.6% had low ROB in the response rate domain. Only 2.4% of studies had high ROB in both quality domains.

DISCUSSION

Based on a large volume of data that powered a variety of analyses, the epidemiology of HSV-2 infection in Latin America and the Caribbean was comprehensively investigated. With about 20% of adults being seropositive (table 2), this region harbours one of the highest seroprevalence levels worldwide,^{1 50-52} second only to sub-Saharan Africa.¹ Nonetheless and remarkably, this region is witnessing a rapidly declining seroprevalence at a rate of about 2% per year (table 4), for reasons that are not yet clear. Curiously, such declines have been also observed in the USA^{26 53-56} and more recently in sub-Saharan Africa.²⁹ Since HSV-2 seroprevalence has been shown to be an objective biomarker of a population's sexual risk behaviour and risk of HIV infection, ^{57–62} seroprevalence declines could be suggestive of declines in risky sex, possibly in response to the threat of HIV infection.⁶³⁻⁶⁶ Other factors may have also contributed, such as the global expansion of HIV/STI response, including primary prevention interventions,^{67 68} STI awareness that encouraged engagement in safer sexual practices⁶⁹ and, possibly, socioeconomic development that has changed the structure of sexual networks towards a structure that is less conducive for STI transmission. In concordance with these declines for HSV-2 seroprevalence, evidence suggests declines in the prevalence of other STIs across world regions, such as of HIV^{66 70} and syphilis.⁷¹ It remains to be seen whether these declines are localised to some regions or subregions, or global in nature.

The results of the present study confirmed key classic attributes of HSV-2 epidemiology, and importantly established *effect sizes* for these attributes (table 4), thereby providing parameter inputs and adjustment cofactors for future STI burden estimations using mathematical modelling. There was strong hierarchy in seroprevalence based on sexual risk behaviour classification that explained alone 44% of the seroprevalence variation (table 4). This hierarchy was also consistent with that found recently for sub-Saharan Africa.²⁹ Seroprevalence reached high levels that exceeded 60% in populations at high risk, such as female sex workers and men who have sex with men (table 2).

Compared with women, men had 0.68-fold lower HSV-2 seroprevalence (table 4), providing further support for a higher bioanatomical susceptibility to the infection among women.^{9 52 72} Consistent with existing evidence,^{1 52 72 73} age played a critical role in exposure to this infection. Seroprevalence grew steadily with age right after sexual debut (tables 3 and 4). However, unlike in sub-Saharan Africa where it plateaued by mid-30s,²⁹ seroprevalence continued to grow with age in Latin America and the Caribbean even for those >40 years of age.

The results have shown some evidence for subregion and country variability in HSV-2 seroprevalence (tables 3 and 4). There was also evidence for higher seroprevalence in countries

with lower income (tables 3 and 4) that are suggestive of lower socioeconomic status being conducive to higher risk of exposure to this infection, as observed elsewhere.^{50 74 75}

The results further show that HSV-2 infection is the aetiological cause of nearly half of GUD cases in this region (table 5), confirming the disproportional role for this infection in this disease outcome. The role of HSV-2 in GUD may continue at this high level for decades to come despite the declining seroprevalence, as other causes of GUD, such as syphilis, ^{71 76} could also be declining at the same time. HSV-2 infection (as opposed to HSV-1 infection) was also the aetiological cause of >90% of genital herpes cases (table 5). This finding is in line with a recent assessment of HSV-1 infection in Latin America and the Caribbean, indicating that HSV-1 is still mainly acquired orally in a context of slow transitioning epidemiology and limited contribution for HSV-1 in genital herpes.³⁰ While this finding is consistent with what is observed in sub-Saharan Africa and possibly the Middle East and North Africa,⁷⁷⁻⁷⁹ it contrasts with what is observed in North America, Europe, and Asia, where the role of HSV-1 in genital herpes has been increasing, and in some settings and populations even reaching the point of being the leading cause of this disease outcome.⁸⁰⁻⁸⁸

This study has limitations. HSV-2 epidemiological data were mainly available for the large countries of Latin America and the Caribbean region that constitute most of its population, but there were no data available for 27 out of the 47 (mostly small) countries constituting this part of the world. There were also less data for GUD and genital herpes than for seroprevalence. There was evidence for a small-study effect and somewhat varying seroprevalence by sampling method and response rate (table 4), which may have biased assessed seroprevalence. Studies differed in the employed diagnostic assays (online supplemental table S4), with possibly different sensitivity and specificity profiles.^{35 36 89} However, no effect was found for assay type on estimated seroprevalence (table 4). Measured seroprevalence can be affected by the choice of ELISA optical density cut-off for positivity.^{35 51 90 91} Studies were excluded if clearly an inappropriate cut-off was used. Still, variation in the use of optical density cutoffs across studies could have influenced estimated seroprevalence.^{35 51 90 91} There was high heterogeneity in seroprevalence (tables 2 and 3), but strikingly most of this heterogeneity was subsequently explained by the 'classic' attributes driving variation in HSV-2 seroprevalence, including sexual risk behaviour, sex and age (table 4). On balance, these limitations may have had inconsequential impact on the results and findings of the present study.

CONCLUSIONS

One in five adults in Latin America and the Caribbean is chronically infected with HSV-2, a higher level than that found in most other world regions, but seroprevalence is rapidly declining at a rate of about 2% per year, possibly reflecting changes in sexual behaviour and patterns, sexual networks or use of protective measures, such as condoms, over the last three decades. Despite this decline, HSV-2 infection persists as the aetiological cause of nearly half of GUD cases in this region, and almost all of genital herpes cases. These findings highlight the importance of HSV-2 seroprevalence monitoring and surveillance and demonstrate the need for prophylactic and therapeutic vaccines to alleviate this disease burden. They also advocate for increased momentum and support to the slowly progressing efforts of vaccine development.

Key messages

- Herpes simplex virus type 2 (HSV-2) infection is a highly prevalent STI worldwide, and results in a sizeable disease burden.
- One in five adults in Latin America and the Caribbean is chronically infected with HSV-2, a higher level than in other regions.
- However, this region is witnessing a rapidly declining seroprevalence at a rate of 2% per year.
- HSV-2 is the aetiological cause of nearly half of GUD cases and almost all of genital herpes cases in this region.
- The findings highlights the need for seroprevalence monitoring, GUD/genital herpes aetiological surveillance, and an HSV-2 vaccine to control transmission and alleviate the disease burden.

Author affiliations

¹Infectious Disease Epidemiology Group, Weill Cornell Medicine—Qatar, Cornell University, Qatar Foundation—Education City, Doha, Qatar
²WHO Collaborating Centre for Disease Epidemiology Analytics on HIV/AIDS, Sexually Transmitted Infections, and Viral Hepatitis, Weill Cornell Medicine—Qatar, Cornell University, Qatar Foundation—Education City, Doha, Qatar
³Institute for Clinical Diabetology, German Diabetes Center, Leibniz Center for Diabetes Research, Heinrich Heine University Düsseldorf, Dusseldorf, Germany
⁴Department of Population Health Sciences, Weill Cornell Medicine, Cornell University, New York, New York, USA

Handling editor Jason J Ong

Acknowledgements The authors gratefully acknowledge Professor Emeritus Rhoda Ashley Morrow from the University of Washington, for her support in assessing the quality of study diagnostic methods. The authors are also grateful for Ms Adona Canlas for administrative support. This publication was made possible by NPRP grant number 9-040-3-008 from the Qatar National Research Fund (a member of Qatar Foundation). The findings achieved herein are solely the responsibility of the authors. The authors are also grateful for pilot funding by the Biomedical Research Programme and infrastructure support provided by the Biostatistics, Epidemiology, and Biomathematics Research Core, both at Weill Cornell Medicine in Qatar.

Contributors MH and HM conducted the systematic search, data extraction and data analysis. MH wrote the first draft of the paper. LJA-R conceived the study and led the data extraction and analyses and interpretation of the results. All authors contributed to drafting and revising the manuscript.

Funding This work was supported by the Qatar National Research Fund (NPRP 9-040-3-008) and through pilot funding by the Biomedical Research Program at Weill Cornell Medicine in Qatar.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent for publication Not required.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.

ORCID iD

Laith J Abu-Raddad http://orcid.org/0000-0003-0790-0506

REFERENCES

- James C, Harfouche M, Welton NJ, et al. Herpes simplex virus: global infection prevalence and incidence estimates, 2016. Bull World Health Organ 2020;98:315–29.
- 2 Abu-Raddad LJ, Magaret AS, Celum C, *et al*. Genital herpes has played a more important role than any other sexually transmitted infection in driving HIV prevalence in Africa. *PLoS One* 2008;3:e2230.

Review

- 3 Benedetti J, Corey L, Ashley R. Recurrence rates in genital herpes after symptomatic first-episode infection. *Ann Intern Med* 1994;121:847–54.
- 4 Wald A, Krantz E, Selke S, *et al*. Knowledge of partners' genital herpes protects against herpes simplex virus type 2 acquisition. *J Infect Dis* 2006;194:42–52.
- 5 Wald A, Langenberg AG, Link K, et al. Effect of condoms on reducing the transmission of herpes simplex virus type 2 from men to women. JAMA 2001;285:3100–6.
- 6 Wald A, Zeh J, Selke S, *et al*. Reactivation of genital herpes simplex virus type 2 infection in asymptomatic seropositive persons. *N Engl J Med* 2000;342:844–50.
- 7 Mindel A, Marks C. Psychological symptoms associated with genital herpes virus infections: epidemiology and approaches to management. *CNS Drugs* 2005;19:303–12.
- 8 Mark H, Gilbert L, Nanda J. Psychosocial well-being and quality of life among women newly diagnosed with genital herpes. J Obstet Gynecol Neonatal Nurs 2009;38:320–6.
- 9 Gupta R, Warren T, Wald A. Genital herpes. *Lancet* 2007;370:2127–37.
- 10 Fisman DN. Health related quality of life in genital herpes: a pilot comparison of measures. Sex Transm Infect 2005;81:267–70.
- 11 Looker KJ, Magaret AS, May MT, et al. First estimates of the global and regional incidence of neonatal herpes infection. Lancet Glob Health 2017;5:e300–9.
- 12 Omori R, Nagelkerke N, Abu-Raddad LJ. HIV and herpes simplex virus type 2 epidemiological synergy: misguided observational evidence? A modelling study. Sex Transm Infect 2018;94:372–6.
- 13 Freeman EE, Weiss HA, Glynn JR, et al. Herpes simplex virus 2 infection increases HIV acquisition in men and women: systematic review and meta-analysis of longitudinal studies. AIDS 2006;20:73–83.
- 14 Looker KJ, Elmes JAR, Gottlieb SL, *et al*. Effect of HSV-2 infection on subsequent HIV acquisition: an updated systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2017;17:1303–16.
- 15 Looker KJ, Welton NJ, Sabin KM, et al. Global and regional estimates of the contribution of herpes simplex virus type 2 infection to HIV incidence: a population attributable fraction analysis using published epidemiological data. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2020;20:240–9.
- 16 United Nations. *Transforming our world: the 2030 agenda for sustainable development*, 2016.
- 17 World Health Organization. *Global health sector strategy on sexually transmitted infections 2016-2021: toward ending STIs*. World Health Organization, 2016.
- 18 Gottlieb SL, Giersing BK, Hickling J, et al. Meeting report: initial World Health organization consultation on herpes simplex virus (HSV) vaccine preferred product characteristics, March 2017. Vaccine 2019;37:7408–18.
- 19 Gottlieb SL, Giersing B, Boily M-C, *et al*. Modelling efforts needed to advance herpes simplex virus (HSV) vaccine development: key findings from the world Health organization consultation on HSV vaccine impact modelling. *Vaccine* 2019;37:7336–45.
- 20 Fanfair RN, Zaidi A, Taylor LD, et al. Trends in seroprevalence of herpes simplex virus type 2 among non-Hispanic blacks and non-Hispanic whites aged 14 to 49 years-United States, 1988 to 2010. Sex Transm Dis 2013;40:860–4.
- 21 Douglas JM, Berman SM. Screening for HSV-2 infection in STD clinics and beyond: a few answers but more questions. *Sex Transm Dis* 2009;36:729–31.
- 22 Johnston C, Corey L. Current concepts for genital herpes simplex virus infection: diagnostics and pathogenesis of genital tract shedding. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 2016;29:149–61.
- 23 Giersing BK, Vekemans J, Nava S, *et al*. Report from the world Health organization's third product development for vaccines Advisory Committee (PDVAC) meeting, Geneva, 8-10th June 2016. *Vaccine* 2019;37:7315–27.
- 24 Gottlieb SL, Deal CD, Giersing B, et al. The global roadmap for advancing development of vaccines against sexually transmitted infections: update and next steps. Vaccine 2016;34:2939–47.
- 25 World Health Organization. World Health organization preferred product characteristics for herpes 1 simplex virus vaccines, 2018.
- 26 Ayoub HH, Chemaitelly H, Abu-Raddad LJ. Epidemiological impact of novel preventive and therapeutic HSV-2 vaccination in the United States: mathematical modeling analyses. *Vaccines* 2020;8. doi:10.3390/vaccines8030366. [Epub ahead of print: 08 Jul 2020].
- 27 World Health Organization. World Health Organization preferred product characteristics for herpes 2 simplex virus vaccines, 2019. Available: https://www.who. int/immunization/research/ppc-tpp/HSV_Vaccine_PPCs_for_Public_Comment.pdf [Accessed 3 Feb 2020].
- 28 Spicknall IH, Looker KJ, Gottlieb SL, *et al*. Review of mathematical models of HSV-2 vaccination: implications for vaccine development. *Vaccine* 2019;37:7396–407.
- 29 Harfouche M, Chemaitelly H, Abu-Raddad LJ. Herpes simplex virus type 1 epidemiology in Africa: systematic review, meta-analyses, and meta-regressions. J Infect 2019;79:289–99.
- 30 Sukik L, Alyafei M, Harfouche M, *et al*. Herpes simplex virus type 1 epidemiology in Latin America and the Caribbean: systematic review and meta-analytics. *PLoS One* 2019;14:e0215487.

- 31 Higgins J, Green S. Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions. John Wiley & Sons, 2011.
- 32 Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, *et al*. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *PLoS Med* 2009;6:e1000097.
- 33 World Health Organization. WHO regional offices, 2017. Available: http://www.who. int/about/regions/en/ [Accessed Sep 2019].
- 34 United Nations-Department of Economic and Social Affairs. Standard country or area codes for statistical use. Available: https://unstats.un.org/unsd/methodology/m49/ [Accessed Apr 2020].
- 35 Ashley-Morrow R, Nollkamper J, Robinson NJ, et al. Performance of focus ELISA tests for herpes simplex virus type 1 (HSV-1) and HSV-2 antibodies among women in ten diverse geographical locations. Clin Microbiol Infect 2004;10:530–6.
- 36 Ashley RL. Performance and use of HSV type-specific serology test kits. *Herpes* 2002;9:38–45.
- 37 Borenstein MH, Higgins JPT, Rothstein HR. Introduction to meta-analysis Chichester. UK: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, 2009.
- 38 Freeman MF, Tukey JW. Transformations related to the angular and the square root. *Ann Math Stat* 1950;21:607–11.
- 39 RStudio Team. *RStudio: integrated development for R*. Boston, MA: RStudio, Inc, 2015. http://www.rstudio.com/
- 40 Schwarzer G. Meta: an R package for meta-analysis. *R news* 2007;7:40–5.
- 41 StataCorp. *Stata statistical software: release 14*. College Station, TX: StataCorp LP, 2015.
- 42 Harbord RM, Higgins JPT. Meta-regression in Stata. Stata Journal 2008;8:493–519.
- 43 Balachandran N, Frame B, Chernesky M, et al. Identification and typing of herpes simplex viruses with monoclonal antibodies. J Clin Microbiol 1982;16:205–8.
- 44 HIV, STD and risk behaviors among female sex worker in El Salvador. Poster CDC0622. International AIDS Conference, Vienna, Austria, 2010.
- 45 do Nascimento MC, Sumita LM, de Souza VA, *et al*. Detection and direct typing of herpes simplex virus in perianal ulcers of patients with AIDS by PCR. *J Clin Microbiol* 1998;36:848–9.
- 46 Morales-Miranda S, Paredes M, Arambu N. HIV, STD and risk behaviors among men who have sex with men, female sex workers, and indigenous Garífuna population in Honduras. WEAX0305 In: International AIDS Conference Mexico, Mexico, 2008.
- 47 Sánchez J, Gotuzzo E, Escamilla J, *et al.* Sexually transmitted infections in female sex workers: reduced by condom use but not by a limited periodic examination program. *Sex Transm Dis* 1998;25:82–9.
- 48 Smith JS, Herrero R, Bosetti C, et al. Herpes simplex virus-2 as a human papillomavirus cofactor in the etiology of invasive cervical cancer. J Natl Cancer Inst 2002;94:1604–13.
- 49 Vaccarella S, Franceschi S, Herrero R, *et al.* Sexual behavior, condom use, and human papillomavirus: pooled analysis of the IARC human papillomavirus prevalence surveys. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2006;15:326–33.
- 50 Smith JS, Robinson NJ. Age-specific prevalence of infection with herpes simplex virus types 2 and 1: a global review. *J Infect Dis* 2002;186(Suppl 1):S3–28.
- 51 Dargham SR, Nasrallah GK, Al-Absi ES, *et al.* Herpes simplex virus type 2 seroprevalence among different national populations of middle East and North African men. *Sex Transm Dis* 2018;45:482–7.
- 52 Weiss H. Epidemiology of herpes simplex virus type 2 infection in the developing world. *Herpes* 2004;11(Suppl 1):24A–35.
- 53 Xu F, Sternberg MR, Kottiri BJ, *et al*. Trends in herpes simplex virus type 1 and type 2 seroprevalence in the United States. *JAMA* 2006;296:964–73.
- 54 McQuillan G, Kruszon-Moran D, Flagg EW, et al. Prevalence of herpes simplex virus type 1 and type 2 in persons aged 14-49: United States, 2015-2016. NCHS Data Brief 2018;304:1–8.
- 55 Chemaitelly H, Nagelkerke N, Omori R, *et al*. Characterizing herpes simplex virus type 1 and type 2 seroprevalence declines and epidemiological association in the United States. *PLoS One* 2019;14:e0214151.
- 56 Ayoub HH, Amara I, Awad SF. Analytic characterization of the herpes simplex virus type 2 epidemic in the United States, 1950-2050. under review.
- 57 Abu-Raddad LJ, Schiffer JT, Ashley R, *et al*. HSV-2 serology can be predictive of HIV epidemic potential and hidden sexual risk behavior in the middle East and North Africa. *Epidemics* 2010;2:173–82.
- 58 Omori R, Abu-Raddad LJ. Sexual network drivers of HIV and herpes simplex virus type 2 transmission. *AIDS* 2017;31:1721–32.
- 59 van de Laar MJ, Termorshuizen F, Slomka MJ, et al. Prevalence and correlates of herpes simplex virus type 2 infection: evaluation of behavioural risk factors. Int J Epidemiol 1998;27:127–34.
- 60 Cowan FM, Johnson AM, Ashley R, *et al*. Antibody to herpes simplex virus type 2 as serological marker of sexual lifestyle in populations. *BMJ* 1994;309:1325–9.
- 61 Obasi A, Mosha F, Quigley M, *et al*. Antibody to herpes simplex virus type 2 as a marker of sexual risk behavior in rural Tanzania. *J Infect Dis* 1999;179:16–24.
- 62 Kouyoumjian SP, Heijnen M, Chaabna K, et al. Global population-level association between herpes simplex virus 2 prevalence and HIV prevalence. AIDS 2018;32:1343–52.
- 63 Hallett TB, Gregson S, Mugurungi O, *et al.* Assessing evidence for behaviour change affecting the course of HIV epidemics: a new mathematical modelling approach and application to data from Zimbabwe. *Epidemics* 2009;1:108–17.

Review

- 64 Hallett TB, Aberle-Grasse J, Bello G, *et al.* Declines in HIV prevalence can be associated with changing sexual behaviour in Uganda, urban Kenya, Zimbabwe, and urban Haiti. *Sex Transm Infect* 2006;82(Suppl 1):i1–8.
- 65 Kilian AH, Gregson S, Ndyanabangi B, *et al.* Reductions in risk behaviour provide the most consistent explanation for declining HIV-1 prevalence in Uganda. *AIDS* 1999;13:391–8.
- 66 Awad SF, Abu-Raddad LJ. Could there have been substantial declines in sexual risk behavior across sub-Saharan Africa in the mid-1990s? *Epidemics* 2014;8:9–17.
- 67 Wijesooriya NS, Rochat RW, Kamb ML, *et al*. Global burden of maternal and congenital syphilis in 2008 and 2012: a health systems modelling study. *Lancet Glob Health* 2016;4:e525–33.
- 68 World Health Organization. *Global health sector strategy on sexually transmitted infections 2016–2021: towards ending STIs*. Geneva, 2016.
- 69 Gayet C, Juarez F, Bozon M. Sexual practices of Latin America and the Caribbean. International handbook on the demography of sexuality: Springer, 2013: 67–90.
- 70 UNAIDS. Global HIV & AIDS statistics 2019 fact sheet 2019. Available: https:// www.unaids.org/sites/default/files/media_asset/UNAIDS_FactSheet_en.pdf [Accessed Mar 2020].
- 71 Smolak A, Rowley J, Nagelkerke N, et al. Trends and predictors of syphilis prevalence in the general population: global pooled analyses of 1103 prevalence measures including 136 million syphilis tests. *Clin Infect Dis* 2018;66:1184–91.
- 72 Wald A, Corey L, Arvin A. Persistence in the population: epidemiology, transmission. In: Arvin A, Fiume GC, Mocarski E, et al, eds. Human herpesviruses: biology, therapy, and immunoprophylaxis. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2007.
- 73 Looker KJ, Magaret AS, Turner KME, et al. Global estimates of prevalent and incident herpes simplex virus type 2 infections in 2012. PLoS One 2015;10:e114989.
- 74 Bradley H, Markowitz LE, Gibson T, *et al*. Seroprevalence of herpes simplex virus types 1 and 2--United States, 1999-2010. *J Infect Dis* 2014;209:325–33.
- 75 Dévieux JG, Rosenberg R, Saint-Jean G, *et al*. The continuing challenge of reducing HIV risk among Haitian youth: the need for intervention. *J Int Assoc Provid AIDS Care* 2015;14:217–23.
- 76 Korenromp EL, Mahiané SG, Nagelkerke N, et al. Syphilis prevalence trends in adult women in 132 countries - estimations using the Spectrum Sexually Transmitted Infections model. Sci Rep 2018;8:11503.
- 77 Nasrallah GK, Dargham SR, Mohammed LI, et al. Estimating seroprevalence of herpes simplex virus type 1 among different middle East and North African male populations residing in Qatar. J Med Virol 2018;90:184–90.
- 78 Chaabane S, Harfouche M, Chemaitelly H, et al. Herpes simplex virus type 1 epidemiology in the middle East and North Africa: systematic review, meta-analyses, and meta-regressions. Sci Rep 2019;9:1–11.

- 79 Harfouche M, Chemaitelly H, Abu-Raddad LJ. Herpes simplex virus type 1 epidemiology in Africa: systematic review, meta-analyses, and meta-regressions. J Infect 2019;79:289–99.
- 80 Ayoub HH, Chemaitelly H, Abu-Raddad LJ. Characterizing the transitioning epidemiology of herpes simplex virus type 1 in the USA: model-based predictions. *BMC Med* 2019;17:57.
- 81 Bernstein DI, Bellamy AR, Hook EW, et al. Epidemiology, clinical presentation, and antibody response to primary infection with herpes simplex virus type 1 and type 2 in young women. Clin Infect Dis 2013;56:344–51.
- 82 Löwhagen GB, Tunbäck P, Andersson K, et al. First episodes of genital herpes in a Swedish STD population: a study of epidemiology and transmission by the use of herpes simplex virus (HSV) typing and specific serology. *Sex Transm Infect* 2000;76:179–82.
- 83 Nilsen A, Myrmel H. Changing trends in genital herpes simplex virus infection in Bergen, Norway. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand 2000;79:693–6.
- 84 Samra Z, Scherf E, Dan M. Herpes simplex virus type 1 is the prevailing cause of genital herpes in the Tel Aviv area, Israel. Sex Transm Dis 2003;30:794–6.
- 85 Gilbert M, Li X, Petric M, et al. Using centralized laboratory data to monitor trends in herpes simplex virus type 1 and 2 infection in British Columbia and the changing etiology of genital herpes. Can J Public Health 2011;102:225–9.
- 86 Roberts CM, Pfister JR, Spear SJ. Increasing proportion of herpes simplex virus type 1 as a cause of genital herpes infection in college students. *Sex Transm Dis* 2003;30:797–800.
- 87 Khadr L, Harfouche M, Omori R, et al. The epidemiology of herpes simplex virus type 1 in Asia: systematic review, meta-analyses, and meta-regressions. *Clinical Infectious Diseases* 2019;68:757–72.
- 88 Yousuf W, Ibrahim H, Harfouche M, et al. Herpes simplex virus type 1 in Europe: systematic review, meta-analyses and meta-regressions. BMJ Glob Health 2020;5:e002388.
- 89 Nasrallah GK, Dargham SR, Sahara AS, et al. Performance of four diagnostic assays for detecting herpes simplex virus type 2 antibodies in the middle East and North Africa. J Clin Virol 2019;111:33–8.
- 90 Delany-Moretlwe S, Jentsch U, Weiss H, *et al.* Comparison of focus HerpesSelect and Kalon HSV-2 gG2 ELISA serological assays to detect herpes simplex virus type 2 antibodies in a South African population. *Sex Transm Infect* 2010;86:46–50.
- 91 Mujugira A, Morrow RA, Celum C, et al. Performance of the focus HerpeSelect-2 enzyme immunoassay for the detection of herpes simplex virus type 2 antibodies in seven African countries. Sex Transm Infect 2011;87:238–41.